

**LOWRY LANDFILL Superfund Site****Five-Year Review Interview Form**Site Name: **LOWRY LANDFILL**EPA ID No.: **COD980499248**Interviewer Name: **Katherine Jenkins**Affiliation: **EPA**Subject Name: **Karen Hancock**Affiliation: **City of Aurora, Colorado**

Time:

Date:

**Interview Format (circle one):** In Person      Phone      Mail      Other: **Email**

1. What is your overall impression of the project, including cleanup, maintenance and reuse activities (as appropriate)? **Residents living downgradient from the landfill continue to have significant concerns about the effectiveness of the groundwater remedy.**

2. What is your assessment of the current performance of the remedy in place at the Site?

**City staff concurs with the response provided by CDPHE. Shallow and deep groundwater contaminant levels have failed to meet Remedial Action Objectives.**

3. Are you aware of any complaints or inquiries regarding site-related environmental issues or remedial activities from residents in the past five years?

**City staff has been copied on correspondence from CLLEAN and CDPHE a number of times over the past 15 years indicating their dissatisfaction with the performance the groundwater remedy.**

4. Has your office conducted any site-related activities or communications in the past five years? If so, please describe the purpose and results of these activities.

**No.**

5. Are you aware of any changes to state laws that might affect the protectiveness of the Site's remedy?

**City staff became aware of changes to regulations as a result of this Five-Year review, identified in comments provided by CDPHE.**

6. Are you comfortable with the status of the institutional controls at the Site? If not, what are the associated outstanding issues?

**City staff concurs with the response provided by CDPHE:**

**"No. The environmental covenant (HMC0V0016) that is the primary Institutional Control for the site covers only the site itself. Since there is a substantial off-site groundwater contaminant plume that has NO institutional controls, the ICs for the site are inadequate and not protective. ICs should be extended to include all areas**

**where groundwater contaminant concentrations exceed ARARs or applicable standards.”**

7. Are you aware of any changes in projected land use(s) at the Site?

**No.**

8. Do you have any comments, suggestions or recommendations regarding the management or operation of the Site’s remedy?

**CDPHE provided a comprehensive response indicating that the Department has significant issues with the OU1 and OU6 remedy. CLLEAN has provided multiple written communications to EPA that address technical discrepancies and complaints about the groundwater remedy. City staff would like to be copied on EPA’s response to these concerns.**